

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
ex rel. RAJU A.T. DAHLSTROM, et
al.,

Plaintiffs.

V.

SAUK-SUITTLE INDIAN TRIBE
OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C16-0052JLR

**ORDER STRIKING DISCOVERY
MOTION**

Before the court is Defendants Ronda Metcalf, Christine Morlock, and Robert
ck's (collectively, "Defendants") motion to compel Plaintiff Raju A.T. Dahlstrom
duce responses and supplement responses to certain discovery requests. (Mot.
56).) Defendants filed their motion without first requesting a conference with the
(*See* Dkt.) The motion therefore contravenes the court's August 3, 2017, amended
uling order. (*See* Am. Sched. Order (Dkt. # 53) at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P.

1 16(b)(3)(B)(v)) (“[P]ursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the Court ‘direct[s]
2 that before moving for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a
3 conference with the court’ by notifying [the courtroom deputy]” (second alteration
4 in original)); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v) (permitting the court, in its
5 scheduling order, to “direct that before moving for an order relating to discovery, the
6 movant must request a conference with the court”). The court therefore STRIKES
7 Defendants’ motion to compel discovery (Dkt. # 56) without prejudice to refile the
8 motion in a manner that comports with the court’s scheduling order.

9 Dated this 26th day of April, 2018.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22



10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge